

**Potency Results** 

Sample Name: THCA Diamond Preroll - Pink Runtz

Client: BD Preroll Client Batch ID:

Pinnacle-Analytics.com 3549 Lear Way, Suite 101 Medford OR 97504 P:(541)300-8217

**Sample ID:** rC-C-547-E2544

Matrix: Flower Prep Analyst: Jeff A.

**Analysis Method:** 0668534+1 H4 5-24-2024 #1.lcm


Sampling Method: N/A

Reference Method: JCB 2009: HPLC/DAD

Analysis Batch: 11-18-2024 H4 276, 437, 474, 547, 548 Flower

Date Sampled: 11/18/2024 Date Reported: 11/19/2024 Client License: N/A For R&D Purposes Only

Total THC (THCA*0.877+d9-THC)	23.5%
Total CBD (CBDA*0.877+CBD)	<loq%< th=""></loq%<>
Moisture Content	13.7%



Cannabinoid	% Weight	mg/g
CBDVA	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
CBDV	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
CBDA*	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
CBGA	1.38	13.8
CBG	0.132	1.32
CBD*	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
THCV	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
CBN	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
d9-THC*	0.213	2.13 /
d8-THC*	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
CBC	<loq< td=""><td><lqq< td=""></lqq<></td></loq<>	<lqq< td=""></lqq<>
THCA*	26.5	265.0
Total Cannabinoids *ORELAP Accredited Analyte		282.3

Limit Of Quantitation: 0.1%, analyte not measured

CBGA

THCA\*

CBG

d9-THC\*



These test results may not be altered or reproduced except in full without the permission of Pinnacle Analytics. These results were generated following the Oregon Administrative Rules and in accordance with the NELAP Institute under ORELAP License #4152 Report generated by Routine\_Potency\_Rev13\_8-1-2023

Kris Ford, PhD Lab Director



## **Quality Control Results**

Analyst: Jeff A.

Pinnacle-Analytics.com 3549 Lear Way, Suite 101 Medford OR 97504

P:(541)300-8217

<b>Analysis Batch:</b> 11-18-2024 H4 276, 437, 474, 547, 548 Flower
---

	Duplicate H-0-E2525-b		<b>LCS % Re</b> C-FL-111824		<b>Method B</b> C-FB-111824	
CBDA	<loq%< th=""><th>30%</th><th>98.0%</th><th>90-110%</th><th><loq 2<="" th=""><th>LOQ/2</th></loq></th></loq%<>	30%	98.0%	90-110%	<loq 2<="" th=""><th>LOQ/2</th></loq>	LOQ/2
CBD	<loq%< th=""><th>30%</th><th>96.4%</th><th>90-110%</th><th><loq 2<="" th=""><th>LOQ/2</th></loq></th></loq%<>	30%	96.4%	90-110%	<loq 2<="" th=""><th>LOQ/2</th></loq>	LOQ/2
d9-THC	1.8%	10%	99.9%	90-110%	<loq 2<="" th=""><th>LOQ/2</th></loq>	LOQ/2
d8-THC	<loq%< th=""><th>30%</th><th>93.2%</th><th>90-110%</th><th><loq 2<="" th=""><th>LOQ/2</th></loq></th></loq%<>	30%	93.2%	90-110%	<loq 2<="" th=""><th>LOQ/2</th></loq>	LOQ/2
THCA	0.434%	10%	98.5%	90-110%	<loq 2<="" th=""><th>LOQ/2</th></loq>	LOQ/2

RPD: Relative Percent Difference between unknown sample and its duplicate

LCS: Laboratory Control Sample with known concentration

Case Comments: There were no divergences from ordinary Quality Control procedures or SOPs.

Kris Ford, PhD Lab Director